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Self-aware manufacturing

Self-aware operation
- ability of a production or measuring machine to understand its current state and surroundings and respond

Approach
- combine physics-based and machine learning models to provide hybrid physics-guided machine learning approaches

Goal
- improve the accuracy, physical consistency, traceability, and generalizability of model predictions to improve manufacturing productivity

Project domain
- artificial intelligence
- machining process modeling
- stability, tool wear, part accuracy, surface finish, machine limitations...
Why now?
- new computing technologies and data are transforming manufacturing from empiricism to science, analog to digital
- global competitors (e.g., Germany’s Industry 4.0 and China’s Made in China 2025)
- US industry, especially SMEs, are looking to adopt new technology (artificial intelligence, smart manufacturing, Industry 4.0, Industrial Internet of Things, cloud computing, digital thread, digital twin, …)

Digital thread
- communication framework that enables seamless data flow and an integrated view of manufacturing processes
- links every phase of life cycle from design, production, and testing through end use
  - digital solid model produced using CAD software
  - CNC machining instructions produced using CAM software
  - measurements performed to ensure conformance to design specifications
  - all data partnered with physical part as digital twin

Challenges
- human intervention is still required at nearly all stages
  - high volumes of data must be manually interpreted and implemented
  - CAM part program is manually produced for every part by programmer
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Physics-guided machine learning

Modeling complex industrial processes
- data-driven
- physics-based

Data-driven approaches
- machine learning and statistical techniques
- learn directly from sensor data and measurement results
- advantage when relationships between the input and output variables are difficult to describe using physics
- challenge is that they are agnostic to physical laws
  - dependent on data quality
  - may not generalize beyond the training data set

Physics-based models
- preferred for scientific discovery
- challenges include
  - every model is an approximation of reality
  - model input parameters require identification, estimation, and calibration
  - input uncertainty is propagated to output uncertainty
Hybrid physics-guided machine learning

- combine data-driven and physics-based models with process measurements
- penalize results that are inconsistent with physical knowledge
  - assure physical consistency of model predictions
  - improve capability to generalize to other situations
  - enable model output to be incorporated in new scientific discovery efforts

Physics-based model, $y = f(x)$

Input, $x$, related to output

Simulated output, $y_s$

Physics-guided data learning model, $Y = f(x, y_s, y_m)$

Measured data, $y_m$, for input, $x$

Output, $Y$
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Machining:
- use defined cutting edge to shear away material (chips) and leave desired geometry

Considerations:
- path planning
- fixturing
- tooling (selection, balancing, holder type)
- coolant management
- machine accuracy
  - quasi-static positioning
  - dynamic positioning
  - thermal errors
- tool/workpiece vibrations
- chatter

Chatter:
- self-excited vibration
- large forces
- large displacements
- poor surface
- tool/workpiece damage
Machining background

Tool flexibility
- cutting tools are designed to be stiff
- the materials are selected to be hard and resist deformation.
- when the cutting force is applied to the tool it still deflects
- can think of a tool as a stiff spring

Workpiece flexibility
- sometimes the workpiece is also flexible
- workpiece can deflect as much or more than the tool when the cutting force is applied
- can also be thought of as a spring
Machining background

Cutting force
- generated as the tool shears away material in the form of a chip
- cutting force depends on the chip thickness, chip width (into screen), material properties, and tool geometry
- larger chip width/thickness and negative rake angle gives higher force

Positive rake angle shown
Why does vibration occur in milling?

- teeth constantly enter and exit the cut
- the cutting force varies with these entries and exits
- the variable cutting force acts on the flexible tool and/or workpiece and causes displacement
- this variable displacement is vibration
- the amplitude of vibration depends on the tool/workpiece stiffness and spindle rotating frequency
There are two main types of vibration in milling.

1) Forced vibration

   The variable force causes the tool or workpiece to vibrate at the same frequency. For a spindle speed of 12000 rpm and a cutter with two teeth, the tooth passing frequency is 12000/60*2 = 400 Hz.

   - vibration magnitude depends on the relationship between the tooth passing frequency and the tool/workpiece dynamics
   - describe the dynamics using the frequency response function, or FRF
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\[ \text{Magnitude} \] \[ \omega_n \]
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2) Self-excited vibration
   ▪ Steady input force is modulated into vibration near the system natural frequency

Examples include:
   ▪ whistle - steady air flow produces acoustic vibration
   ▪ violin - bow across string produces vibration at frequency that depends on the string length
   ▪ airplane wing flutter
   ▪ chatter in machining - steady excitation of teeth impacting work leads to large tool vibrations near system natural frequency

Tacoma Narrows Bridge (Galloping Gertie) opened in July 1940, but collapsed due to aero-elastic flutter four months later.
Machining background

Why does chatter (self-excited vibration) occur in machining?

Regeneration is a primary mechanism for chatter

- force depends on chip thickness
- chip thickness depends on current vibration and previous pass
- current vibration depends on force

Chip thickness varies so force varies → unstable

Chip thickness is nearly constant – small force variation → stable
Stability lobe diagram
- separates unstable (chatter) from stable (forced vibration) zones
- select spindle speed and axial depth combination to obtain stable cutting conditions without trial cuts
- best spindle speeds depend on dynamics and probably do not correspond to handbook values
Machining background

How do the two vibration types relate to the stability lobe diagram?

Forced vibration

Self-excited vibration

Axial depth, b

Spindle speed

Chatter

Stable
Machining background

What about the chip thickness variation?

Milling: tooth to tooth

One more wave per revolution for left stable point
Machining background

How is a stability lobe diagram constructed?

Frequency Response Function (FRF)

Cutting force coefficients

F_t = k_t h_0 b
F_n = k_n h_0 b

Signal analyzer

F

h_0

F_t

F_n

Axial depth, b

Chatter

Stable

Spindle speed
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Machining stability can be modeled analytically and numerically.

Physics-based model inputs include:
- structural dynamics (frequency response function)
- force model (mechanistic coefficients)
- tool/cut geometry (number of teeth, diameter, radial depth of cut)

IIoT enables new data to be generated at high volume/rate with low cost.

Treat every cut as an experiment.

Challenge: physics-based models inputs have uncertainty, so optimized machining parameter predictions are also uncertain.

Objective: combine physics-based models with experiments using machine learning model, use data to update model.
Stability modeling

- Data-learning models generally require a large amount of high quality data to train the model
- Approach here is to use the analytical turning stability limit to generate training data

\[
F = K_A = K_{bh_m} \\
F_n = \cos(\beta)F = \cos(\beta)K_f bh_m = k_n bh_m \\
F_t = \sin(\beta)F = \sin(\beta)K_f bh_m = k_t bh_m
\]

Frequency response function, FRF

\[
\frac{X}{F} = \frac{1}{-m\omega^2 + i\omega + k} \\
\text{Re}\left(\frac{X}{F}\right) = \frac{1}{k} \left( \frac{1 - r^2}{(1 - r^2)^2 + (2\zeta r)^2} \right) \\
\text{Im}\left(\frac{X}{F}\right) = \frac{1}{k} \left( -\frac{2\zeta r}{(1 - r^2)^2 + (2\zeta r)^2} \right)
\]
Stability modeling

- Machine learning models generally require a large amount of high quality data to train the model
- Approach here is to use the analytical turning stability limit to generate training data

Surface regeneration can lead to chatter, a self-excited vibration

\[ b_{\text{num}} = \frac{-1}{2K \cos(\beta) \Re[FRF]} \]

\[ f_\varepsilon = N + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi} \]

\[ \varepsilon = 2\pi - 2\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\Re[FRF]}{\Im[FRF]}\right) \]

Generate stability lobe diagram to relate spindle speed to limiting chip width
The limiting chip width $b_{\text{lim}}$ is calculated over a range of spindle speeds for a selected system.

### Stability modeling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\alpha_1$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$k_1$</th>
<th>$k_1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$90^\circ$</td>
<td>$70^\circ$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^6$ N/m</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^6$ N/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0^\circ$</td>
<td>$0.1$ mm</td>
<td>$315$ N-s/m</td>
<td>$315$ N-s/m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$K_s = 700$ N/mm$^2$

$\alpha_2 = 0^\circ$  
$h_1 = 0.1$ mm  
$c_1 = 315$ N-s/m  
$c_2 = 315$ N-s/m

- $m_1 = 2.5$ kg
- $m_2 = 2.5$ kg

The graph of spindle speed vs. $b_{\text{lim}}$ is the stability map.

- The data for the proposed machine learning models consists of two sets: training and test datasets.
- Both the sets are generated by randomly selecting the chip width values for N spindle speeds.

Training data, N = 201
Stable/unstable machining is a classification problem

Classification is a supervised learning approach in which the model learns from input data and then uses this learning to classify new observations
- face image → male/female
- spindle speed-chip width → stable/unstable

Several approaches are available
- Linear Classifiers: Logistic Regression, Naive Bayes Classifier
- Support Vector Machines
- Decision Trees
- Boosted Trees
- Random Forest
- Artificial Neural Networks
- Nearest Neighbor.
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Stability modeling

- ANNs consist of neurons arranged in layers: an input layer, an output layer, and one or more hidden layers
- The neurons are connected to each other through synapses
- Each neuron takes inputs from the other layers, transforms them (using the weights associated with the synapses) to an output through an activation function
- The neurons in the output layer calculate the output variables using the input from the previous (hidden) layer

Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) were applied to stability modeling.
Stability modeling

- Two input variables: chip width and spindle speed
- Output layer consists of only one node: a number between 0 and 1 (the likelihood of chatter occurring)
- Output ≥ 0.5 is taken to be chatter, Output < 0.5 is treated as stable
- Activation function: logistic function
- Error function: cross-entropy function

Model reproduced lobes using the training data. How well does it perform on the test data?
Stability modeling

The ANN model with two hidden layers is able to predict with 99.4% accuracy on the test data (498/501).

Confusion matrix:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Stable</th>
<th>Chatter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actual</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chatter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>216</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test data: 501 points
What if only one hidden layer with four neurons is used?

The stability lobe diagram is not predicted very well by the ANN model when only one hidden layer is used.

The bottom two cases correspond to the same number of points in the training data, but different distributions.
Stability modeling

Training set
- data points generated using analytical stability model
- binary classification: stable or chatter

Experiments completed
- characterize behavior as stable or chatter
- data is in machine learning model domain (known spindle speed, depth of cut, and stability result)
- re-train model using new data

1. Use stability map to generate a data set (derived using uncertain FRF and force model)
Stability modeling

Training set
- data points generated using analytical stability model
- binary classification: stable or chatter

Experiments completed
- characterize behavior as stable or chatter
- data is in machine learning model domain (known spindle speed, depth of cut, and stability result)
- re-train model using new data

2. Use ANN to define stability model from data set (input uncertainty influences ANN)
Stability modeling

Training set
- data points generated using analytical stability model
- binary classification: stable or chatter

Experiments completed
- characterize behavior as stable or chatter
- data is in machine learning model domain (known spindle speed, depth of cut, and stability result)
- re-train model using new data

3. Collect data during experiments to determine stability for selected machining parameters

Example:
- cut is stable for initial model prediction of unstable
- at the selected spindle speed, the cut is therefore stable for all depths below the selected depth
Stability modeling

Training set
- data points generated using analytical stability model
- binary classification: stable or chatter

Experiments completed
- characterize behavior as stable or chatter
- data is in machine learning model domain (known spindle speed, depth of cut, and stability result)
- re-train model using new data

4. Combine experimental data with ANN stability model to update model
- stable experiment gives new knowledge about performance at selected spindle speed
- combine experimental points with data set
- use higher weight for experimental data
- update ANN stability model using all points
- reduce uncertainty and improve model accuracy over time
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\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega \text{ (rpm)} & \quad b_{\text{lim}} \text{ (mm)} \\
N = 0 & \quad N = 1 & \quad N = 2 & \quad N = 3 \\
\text{Unstable} & \quad \text{Stable} & \quad \text{Stable} & \quad \text{Stable}
\end{align*}
\]
How is a stability lobe diagram constructed?

Replace FRF measurement with a model

Frequency Response Function (FRF)

Axial depth, b

Spindle speed

Chatter

Stable

Signal analyzer

Cutting force coefficients

\[ F_t = k_t h_0 b \]

\[ F_n = k_n h_0 b \]
Expanded stability modeling

Predict tool point frequency response function, FRF, using receptance coupling substructure analysis, RCSA

- Determine spindle-machine FRF using standard holder
- Model tool and holder
- Couple tool-holder model to spindle response and predict tool point FRF

Archive spindle-machine FRF

Provides alternative to measurement of each tool-holder-spindle combination
Expanded stability modeling

RCSA
- couple component FRFs to predict assembly FRFs
- consider both displacement and rotations, forces and moments

Cylinder component FRFs

\[
\begin{align*}
    h_{11} &= \frac{x_1}{f_1}, \quad l_{11} = \frac{x_1}{m_1}, \\
    n_{11} &= \frac{\theta_1}{f_1}, \quad p_{11} = \frac{\theta_1}{m_1}
\end{align*}
\]

Generalized

\[
\begin{align*}
    \{x_1\} &= \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & l_{11} \end{bmatrix} \{f_1\}, \quad \{u_1\} = [R_{11}] \{q_1\} \\
    \{x_{2a}\} &= \begin{bmatrix} h_{2a1} & l_{2a1} \end{bmatrix} \{f_{2a}\}, \quad \{u_{2a}\} = [R_{2a1}] \{q_1\} \\
    \{x_{2b}\} &= \begin{bmatrix} h_{2b1} & l_{2b1} \end{bmatrix} \{f_{2b}\}, \quad \{u_{2b}\} = [R_{2b1}] \{q_1\}
\end{align*}
\]

Direct

Cross

Cross

Direct

Prismatic beam component FRFs

\[
\begin{align*}
    \{x_{2a}\} &= \begin{bmatrix} h_{2a2b} & l_{2a2b} \end{bmatrix} \{f_{2a}\}, \quad \{u_{2a}\} = [R_{2a2b}] \{q_{2a}\} \\
    \{x_{2b}\} &= \begin{bmatrix} h_{2b2b} & l_{2b2b} \end{bmatrix} \{f_{2b}\}, \quad \{u_{2b}\} = [R_{2b2b}] \{q_{2b}\}
\end{align*}
\]

Direct
Expanded stability modeling

Cylinder component generalized displacements

\[ u_1 = R_{11} q_1 + R_{12a} q_{2a} \]
\[ u_{2a} = R_{2a1} q_1 + R_{2a2a} q_{2a} \]

Prismatic beam component generalized displacement

\[ u_{2b} = R_{2b2b} q_{2b} \]

Compatibility condition (rigid)

\[ u_{2b} - u_{2a} = 0 \]

Equilibrium conditions

\[ q_{2a} + q_{2b} = 0 \quad q_1 = Q_1 \]

Substituting

\[ u_{2b} - u_{2a} = 0 \]
\[ R_{2b2b} q_{2b} - R_{2a1} q_1 - R_{2a2a} q_{2a} = 0 \]
\[ (R_{2a2a} + R_{2b2b}) q_{2a} - R_{2a1} Q_1 = 0 \]
\[ q_{2b} = (R_{2a2a} + R_{2b2b})^{-1} R_{2a1} Q_1 \]
Expanded stability modeling

Expanded modeling steps
1. Predict tool point FRF using receptance coupling substructure analysis (RCSA)
2. Generate stability map using predicted FRF and archived force model
3. Use stability map to generate a data set
4. Define data learning stability model from data set
5. Collect data during experiments to determine actual stability for selected machining parameters
6. Combine experimental data with training data to update data learning stability model
Expanded stability modeling

Hybrid physics-guided data learning
- combine data-driven and physics-based models with process measurements
- train model using points obtained from analytical stability map
- update model with experimental results
- improve model accuracy

- Tool-holder model, spindle measurement
  - FRF model
  - Stability map
  - Physics-guided data learning model for machining stability
    - Predicted cutting parameters
    - Machining parameters, process signals
Summary
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Questions?

Tony Schmitz
University of Tennessee, Knoxville/ORNL
tony.schmitz@utk.edu